

REMARKS

This responds to the Office Action mailed on April 17, 2007.

Claims 1, 8, 15, 19, and 22 are amended; as a result, claims 1-25 are now pending in this application. Applicant believes that the amendments do not add any new matter and are made for purposes of gaining allowance. Consequently, Applicant respectfully requests that the amendments be entered. Additionally, support for the amendments may be found in a variety of locations in the original filed specification. By way of Example only the Examiner's attention is directed to the original filed specification paragraphs 18 and 25.

§102 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 1-25 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Tseng et al. (U.S. 6,009,256 A). It is of course fundamental that in order to sustain an anticipation rejection that each and every step or element in the rejected claims must be taught or suggested in the exact detail and identical arrangement in the cited reference.

The Tseng reference discusses mapping physical resources but not logical resources. Additionally, the Tseng reference discusses permitting a user to stop a session for inspection does not discuss stopping a mode of operation and using mappings to transition to a next mode of operation. These limitations are now recited in one form or another in the above-referenced amendments.

Accordingly, Applicant asserts that the rejections do not teach each and every limitation of the claims and respectfully requests that the rejections of record be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

In the interest of clarity and brevity, Applicant may not have addressed every assertion made in the Office Action. Applicant's silence regarding any such assertion does not constitute any admission or acquiescence. Applicant reserves all rights not exercised in connection with this response, such as the right to challenge or rebut any tacit or explicit characterization of any reference or of any of the present claims, the right to challenge or rebut any asserted factual or

legal basis of any of the rejections, the right to swear behind any cited reference such as provided under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 or otherwise, or the right to assert co-ownership of any cited reference. Applicant does not admit that any of the cited references or any other references of record are relevant to the present claims, or that they constitute prior art. To the extent that any rejection or assertion is based upon the Examiner's personal knowledge, rather than any objective evidence of record as manifested by a cited prior art reference, Applicant timely objects to such reliance on Official Notice, and reserves all rights to request that the Examiner provide a reference or affidavit in support of such assertion, as required by MPEP § 2144.03. Applicant reserves all rights to pursue any cancelled claims in a subsequent patent application claiming the benefit of priority of the present patent application, and to request rejoinder of any withdrawn claim, as required by MPEP § 821.04.

CONCLUSION


Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's attorney at (513) 942-0224 to facilitate prosecution of this application.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

PERAMACHANAHALLI S. RAMKUMAR ET AL.

By their Representatives,
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.
P.O. Box 2938
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
(513) 942-0224

By /  /
Joseph P. Mehrle
Reg. No. 45,535